

A ω -REA Set Forming A Minimal Pair With $0'$

Peter M. Gerdes

<http://invariant.org/math.html>

2018, Madison Talk

Outline

- 1 Background
- 2 Tools
- 3 Basic Modules
- 4 Full Construction

Notation

- $A^{[n]} = \{y \mid \langle n, y \rangle \in A\}, \uparrow$
- $A^{[<n]} = \{\langle m, y \rangle \mid m < n\}, A^{[=n]} = \{\langle m, y \rangle \mid m = n\}, \text{ etc..}$
- $\sigma, \tau, \nu, \delta$ range over $\{0, 1, \uparrow\}^{<\omega}$ (partial binary valued functions with finite domain).
- We write $\sigma \prec \tau$ if τ extends σ and $\sigma \prec X$ if σ is extended by the characteristic function of X .
- Let $V_i(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_i(x, s)$ be an enumeration of the Δ_2^0 sets.

C.E. operations are nice!

- We define X' to be c.e. in X .
- Many properties of the jump are really properties of c.e. operations.
- But not all c.e. operations are very jump like.
- Sometimes $W_e^X \not\leq_T X$

1-REA Operations

Definition (Jockusch and Shore)

A 1-REA operator is of the form

$$J_e(A) = A \oplus W_e^A$$

- Jockusch and Shore proved jump inversion holds for all 1-REA operators.
- Naturally we want to iterate to get an analog of the α jump operation.
- To act like an α -jump the sequence of 1-REA operators should be effective.

α -REA

Definition (Jockusch and Shore)

Given a computable function f define:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_f^0(A) &= A \\ \mathcal{J}_f^{\beta+1}(A) &= J_{f(\beta)}(A) = J_f^\beta(A) \oplus W_e^A \\ \mathcal{J}_f^\lambda(A) &= \bigoplus_{\gamma < \omega} \mathcal{J}_f^\gamma(A) \text{ For } \lambda \text{ a limit}\end{aligned}$$

The set C is α -REA if $C = \mathcal{J}_f^\alpha(\emptyset)$.

Theorem (Jockusch and Shore)

Every Δ_2^0 operator is an α -REA operator for some α . (Sets too!)

Converse

Theorem (Jockusch and Shore)

If $C = \mathcal{J}_f^\gamma(\emptyset)$ is α -REA either $C \leq_T \emptyset$ or for some $\kappa <_O \gamma$ with $\emptyset <_T \mathcal{J}_f^\kappa(\emptyset) \leq_T \emptyset''$ with $\mathcal{J}_f^\kappa(\emptyset) \leq_T C$.

Proof.

Least κ with $\emptyset <_T \mathcal{J}_f^\kappa(\emptyset)$ satisfies.

- If $\kappa = \beta + 1$ then $\mathcal{J}_f^{\beta+1}(\emptyset) = J_e(\mathcal{J}_f^\beta(\emptyset)) \leq_T \emptyset'$.
- Can effectively go from computable description of $\mathcal{J}_f^\alpha(\emptyset)$ to computable description of $\mathcal{J}_f^\beta(\emptyset)$ with $\beta <_O \alpha$.
- If κ a limit then use \emptyset'' to decide if $\langle \beta, x \rangle \in \mathcal{J}_f^\kappa(\emptyset)$ by searching for computable index satisfying defining equation of $\mathcal{J}_f^\alpha(\emptyset)$ for each $\alpha <_O \beta$.



Limit Levels

Question (Shore)

If $C \not\leq_{\mathbf{T}} \emptyset$ is α -REA must C compute some non-computable Δ_2^0 set?

Theorem

There is an ω -REA set $C >_{\mathbf{T}} \emptyset$ forming a minimal pair with \emptyset' .

Corollary

There is an ω -REA operator \mathcal{J}^ω so that for every A , $\mathcal{J}^\omega(A) >_{\mathbf{T}} A$ but if $X \leq_{\mathbf{T}} A'$ and $X \leq_{\mathbf{T}} \mathcal{J}^\omega(A)$ then $X \leq_{\mathbf{T}} A$.

Representing C

Observation

If $C^{[i]} = W_{f(i)}^{C^{[<i]}}$ for a computable function f then C is of ω -REA degree.

- Clearly $C^{[i]}$ must always be computable for us to succeed.
- We ensure that $C^{[i]} =^* \omega$ or $C^{[i]} =^* \emptyset$.
- Need way to build C in a constructive fashion.
- Produce f by enumerating elements into $C^{[i]}$ contingent on $C^{[<i]}$ having a given finite initial segment.

α -REA operators are c.e. sets

Definition

A (ω -REA) axiom is a pair $\langle \sigma \rightarrow z \rangle$ with $z = \langle I, y \rangle$ and $\text{dom } \sigma \subset \omega^{[<I]}$

- The axiom $\langle \sigma \rightarrow \langle I, y \rangle \rangle$ represents a commitment to place y into $C^{[I]}$ if $\sigma \prec C^{[<I]}$.

Proposition

If \mathcal{A} is a c.e. set of axioms then there is a unique ω -REA set \mathcal{C} defined by

$$\langle I, y \rangle \in \mathcal{C} \iff [\exists \sigma](\langle \sigma \rightarrow \langle I, y \rangle \rangle \in \mathcal{A} \wedge \sigma \prec \mathcal{C})$$

- f witnesses $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})^{[I]}$ is uniformly $\Sigma_1^0(C^{[<I]})$.

Enumerating Axioms

- We build C by giving c.e. construction for \mathcal{A} .
- We write C_δ for the set that \mathcal{A}_δ would produce if no further axioms were enumerated.

Notation

- To enumerate $\langle \sigma \rightarrow \langle I, y \rangle \rangle$ into \mathcal{A} dependent on δ we request that $\langle \delta \cup \sigma \rightarrow \langle I, y \rangle \rangle$ be placed into \mathcal{A} (requires $\delta \upharpoonright \sigma$)

Observation

If $\delta \not\leq C$ then nothing enumerated dependent on δ will place elements into C

- This will be key to avoiding conflict between requirements.

Overview

- We work to meet the requirements \mathcal{N}_e and $\mathcal{R}_{i,e}$.
 - \mathcal{N}_e works to ensure $\bar{C} \neq W_e$
 - $\mathcal{R}_{i,e}$ works to ensure that if V_i total either $C \neq V_i$ or $V_i \leq_{\mathbf{T}} \emptyset$
- $\mathcal{P}_j = \begin{cases} \mathcal{N}_e & \text{if } j = 2e \\ \mathcal{R}_{i,e} & \text{if } j = 2\langle i, e \rangle + 1 \end{cases}$
- Each requirement owns 2 columns $\ell_j, \ell_j + 1$ in C .
- We first describe strategy for each requirement as if it was highest priority requirement.
- Later we implement \mathcal{P}_j with nodes α of length j on tree for standard Π_2^0 approximation argument.

Basic \mathcal{N}_e moduleBasic Strategy for \mathcal{P}_j implementing \mathcal{N}_e

- Try for Σ_1^0 win by looking for $z \in W_e$ in our column ℓ_j .
 - If found enumerate $\langle \emptyset \rightarrow z \rangle$ into \mathcal{A} .
 - Has effect of putting $z \in C \cap W_e$.
 - Keep column empty while searching to prevent C from eating up $\overline{W_e}$ for Π_1^0 win.
-
- Note we always leave $\omega^{[\ell_j]} =^* \omega^{[\ell_{j+1}]} =^* \emptyset$.
 - In real construction will have to respect finite restraint.
 - At stage s we guess outcome is Π_1^0 unless Σ_1^0 win has been enumerated.

Basic $\mathcal{R}_{i,e}$ module: Overview

Basic Strategy for \mathcal{P}_j implementing $\mathcal{R}_{i,e}$

- Search for stages s, s' with $\Phi_{e,s}(C_s; x) \downarrow \neq \Phi_{e,s'}(C'_s; x) \downarrow$ taking $\Phi_e(C) \leq_{\mathbf{T}} 0$ for Π_1^0 win if not.
 - Once found switch between computations maintaining disagreement with $V_{i,s}(x)$ to try for Σ_2^0 win.
 - Accept Π_2^0 win causing $V_i(x) \uparrow$ if we keep switching.
-
- Guess Π_1^0 outcome until disagreement found.
 - Later guess at Π_2^0 victory whenever $V_{i,s}(x)$ changes otherwise guess it never changes again for Σ_2^0 win.
 - Note that we conceptualize each potential Σ_2^0 win as a different outcome.

Basic $\mathcal{R}_{i,e}$ module: Rollback

Question

How do we ensure we can return to computation $\Phi_{e,s}(C_s; x) \downarrow$ if we later observe $\Phi_{e,s'}(C'_s; x) \downarrow$ to disagree?

- Somehow we must preserve the ability to rollback any changes made to C on the use of $\Phi_{e,s}(C_s; x)$.

Idea

- At stage s pick $q_s \in \omega^{[l_\alpha+1]}$ larger than any use observed so far.
- At stage s' restrain any weaker computations from further affecting use of either computation.
- Thus we choose computation $\Phi_{e,s}(C_s; x)$ by putting q_s in C and $\Phi_{e,s'}(C'_s; x) \downarrow$ by keeping it out.

Rollback Illustration

0	0	0	0	0
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
0	0	0	0	0
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0

- Preserve first two columns (ours) during search.
- At s we observe $\Phi_{e,s}(C_s; x) \downarrow$ with **some use**.
- Later **change** dependent on $C(q_s) = 0$.
- At $s' > s$ we observe $\Phi_{e,s'}(C_{s'}; x') \downarrow$ with **some use**.
- Later **change** dependent on both $C(q_s) = 0$ and $C(q_{s'}) = 0$.
- Enumerate $C(q_{s'}) = 1$ recover $\Phi_{e,s'}(C_{s'}; x') \downarrow$.
- Enumerate $C(q_s) = 1$ recover $\Phi_{e,s}(C_s; x) \downarrow$.

Rollback Illustration

0	0	0	0	0
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
0	0	0	0	0
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	1

- Preserve first two columns (ours) during search.
- At s we observe $\Phi_{e,s}(C_s; x) \downarrow$ with **some use**.
- Later **change** dependent on $C(q_s) = 0$.
- At $s' > s$ we observe $\Phi_{e,s'}(C_{s'}; x') \downarrow$ with **some use**.
- Later **change** dependent on both $C(q_s) = 0$ and $C(q_{s'}) = 0$.
- Enumerate $C(q_{s'}) = 1$ recover $\Phi_{e,s'}(C_{s'}; x') \downarrow$.
- Enumerate $C(q_s) = 1$ recover $\Phi_{e,s}(C_s; x) \downarrow$.

Rollback Illustration

0	0	0	0	0
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
0	0	0	0	0
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	1
0	0	0	0	1

- Preserve first two columns (ours) during search.
- At s we observe $\Phi_{e,s}(C_s; x) \downarrow$ with **some use**.
- Later **change** dependent on $C(q_s) = 0$.
- At $s' > s$ we observe $\Phi_{e,s'}(C_{s'}; x') \downarrow$ with **some use**.
- Later **change** dependent on both $C(q_s) = 0$ and $C(q_{s'}) = 0$.
- Enumerate $C(q_{s'}) = 1$ recover $\Phi_{e,s'}(C_{s'}; x') \downarrow$.
- Enumerate $C(q_s) = 1$ recover $\Phi_{e,s}(C_s; x) \downarrow$.

Rollback Illustration

0	0	0	0	0
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
0	0	0	1	0
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	1
0	0	0	0	1

- Preserve first two columns (ours) during search.
- At s we observe $\Phi_{e,s}(C_s; x) \downarrow$ with **some use**.
- Later **change** dependent on $C(q_s) = 0$.
- At $s' > s$ we observe $\Phi_{e,s'}(C_{s'}; x') \downarrow$ with **some use**.
- Later **change** dependent on both $C(q_s) = 0$ and $C(q_{s'}) = 0$.
- Enumerate $C(q_{s'}) = 1$ recover $\Phi_{e,s'}(C_{s'}; x') \downarrow$.
- Enumerate $C(q_s) = 1$ recover $\Phi_{e,s}(C_s; x) \downarrow$.

Rollback Illustration

0	0	0	0	0
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
0	0	0	1	0
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
0	0	0	0	1
0	0	1	1	0
0	0	1	1	1
0	0	0	0	0

- Preserve first two columns (ours) during search.
- At s we observe $\Phi_{e,s}(C_s; x) \downarrow$ with **some use**.
- Later **change** dependent on $C(q_s) = 0$.
- At $s' > s$ we observe $\Phi_{e,s'}(C_{s'}; x') \downarrow$ with **some use**.
- Later **change** dependent on both $C(q_s) = 0$ and $C(q_{s'}) = 0$.
- Enumerate $C(q_{s'}) = 1$ recover $\Phi_{e,s'}(C_{s'}; x') \downarrow$.
- Enumerate $C(q_s) = 1$ recover $\Phi_{e,s}(C_s; x) \downarrow$.

Rollback Illustration

0	1	0	0	0
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
0	0	0	1	0
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	1
0	0	0	0	1

- Preserve first two columns (ours) during search.
- At s we observe $\Phi_{e,s}(C_s; x) \downarrow$ with **some use**.
- Later **change** dependent on $C(q_s) = 0$.
- At $s' > s$ we observe $\Phi_{e,s'}(C_{s'}; x') \downarrow$ with **some use**.
- Later **change** dependent on both $C(q_s) = 0$ and $C(q_{s'}) = 0$.
- Enumerate $C(q_{s'}) = 1$ recover $\Phi_{e,s'}(C_{s'}; x') \downarrow$.
- Enumerate $C(q_s) = 1$ recover $\Phi_{e,s}(C_s; x) \downarrow$.

Rollback Illustration

0	0	0	0	0
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
0	1		0	0
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	1

- Preserve first two columns (ours) during search.
- At s we observe $\Phi_{e,s}(C_s; x) \downarrow$ with **some use**.
- Later **change** dependent on $C(q_s) = 0$.
- At $s' > s$ we observe $\Phi_{e,s'}(C_{s'}; x') \downarrow$ with **some use**.
- Later **change** dependent on both $C(q_s) = 0$ and $C(q_{s'}) = 0$.
- Enumerate $C(q_{s'}) = 1$ recover $\Phi_{e,s'}(C_{s'}; x') \downarrow$.
- Enumerate $C(q_s) = 1$ recover $\Phi_{e,s}(C_s; x) \downarrow$.

Basic $\mathcal{R}_{i,e}$ module: Changing Our Minds

Question

How do we preserve option to switch back to other computation?

- Must ensure there is always some axiom letting us change $C_t(q_s)$.

Idea

- Can put q_s into C_{t+1} by enumerating $\langle\langle k, 0 \rangle \rightarrow q_s \rangle$ for least available $k \notin C_t$ in column ℓ_j .
- As long as we don't act k won't enter C causing $q_s \in C$ if k enumerated into C we cancel enumeration of q_s .
- If we eventually stop $C^{[l_i]} =^* \emptyset$ otherwise $C^{[l_i]} =^* \omega$.

Basic $\mathcal{R}_{i,e}$ module: Changing Our Minds

Question

How do we preserve option to switch back to other computation?

- Must ensure there is always some axiom letting us change $C_t(q_s)$.

Idea

- Can put q_s into C_{t+1} by enumerating $\langle\langle k, 0 \rangle \rightarrow q_s \rangle$ for least available $k \notin C_t$ in column ℓ_j .
- As long as we don't act k won't enter C causing $q_s \in C$ if k enumerated into C we cancel enumeration of q_s .
- If we eventually stop $C^{[l_i]} =^* \emptyset$ otherwise $C^{[l_i]} =^* \omega$.

Alternating Value Illustration

0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	1

AXIOMS

- Let red location be q_s .
- $\langle \langle k_1, 0 \rangle \rightarrow q_s \rangle$
- $\langle \emptyset \rightarrow k_1 \rangle$
- $\langle \langle k_2, 0 \rangle \rightarrow q_s \rangle$
- $\langle \emptyset \rightarrow k_2 \rangle$
- $\langle \langle k_3, 0 \rangle \rightarrow q_s \rangle$
- $\langle \emptyset \rightarrow k_3 \rangle$
- ...

Alternating Value Illustration

0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
1	0
0	0

AXIOMS

- Let red location be q_s .
- $\langle \langle k_1, 0 \rangle \rightarrow q_s \rangle$
- $\langle \emptyset \rightarrow k_1 \rangle$
- $\langle \langle k_2, 0 \rangle \rightarrow q_s \rangle$
- $\langle \emptyset \rightarrow k_2 \rangle$
- $\langle \langle k_3, 0 \rangle \rightarrow q_s \rangle$
- $\langle \emptyset \rightarrow k_3 \rangle$
- ...

Alternating Value Illustration

0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
1	0
0	1

AXIOMS

- Let red location be q_s .
- $\langle \langle k_1, 0 \rangle \rightarrow q_s \rangle$
- $\langle \emptyset \rightarrow k_1 \rangle$
- $\langle \langle k_2, 0 \rangle \rightarrow q_s \rangle$
- $\langle \emptyset \rightarrow k_2 \rangle$
- $\langle \langle k_3, 0 \rangle \rightarrow q_s \rangle$
- $\langle \emptyset \rightarrow k_3 \rangle$
- ...

Alternating Value Illustration

0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
1	0
1	0
0	0
0	1

AXIOMS

- Let red location be q_s .
- $\langle \langle k_1, 0 \rangle \rightarrow q_s \rangle$
- $\langle \emptyset \rightarrow k_1 \rangle$
- $\langle \langle k_2, 0 \rangle \rightarrow q_s \rangle$
- $\langle \emptyset \rightarrow k_2 \rangle$
- $\langle \langle k_3, 0 \rangle \rightarrow q_s \rangle$
- $\langle \emptyset \rightarrow k_3 \rangle$
- ...

Alternating Value Illustration

0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
1	0
1	0
0	1

AXIOMS

- Let red location be q_s .
- $\langle \langle k_1, 0 \rangle \rightarrow q_s \rangle$
- $\langle \emptyset \rightarrow k_1 \rangle$
- $\langle \langle k_2, 0 \rangle \rightarrow q_s \rangle$
- $\langle \emptyset \rightarrow k_2 \rangle$
- $\langle \langle k_3, 0 \rangle \rightarrow q_s \rangle$
- $\langle \emptyset \rightarrow k_3 \rangle$
- ...

Alternating Value Illustration

0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
1	0
1	0
1	0
0	0

AXIOMS

- Let red location be q_s .
- $\langle \langle k_1, 0 \rangle \rightarrow q_s \rangle$
- $\langle \emptyset \rightarrow k_1 \rangle$
- $\langle \langle k_2, 0 \rangle \rightarrow q_s \rangle$
- $\langle \emptyset \rightarrow k_2 \rangle$
- $\langle \langle k_3, 0 \rangle \rightarrow q_s \rangle$
- $\langle \emptyset \rightarrow k_3 \rangle$
- ...

Alternating Value Illustration

1 0
1 0
1 0
1 0
1 0
1 0
1 0
1 0
0 0

AXIOMS

- Let red location be q_s .
- $\langle \langle k_1, 0 \rangle \rightarrow q_s \rangle$
- $\langle \emptyset \rightarrow k_1 \rangle$
- $\langle \langle k_2, 0 \rangle \rightarrow q_s \rangle$
- $\langle \emptyset \rightarrow k_2 \rangle$
- $\langle \langle k_3, 0 \rangle \rightarrow q_s \rangle$
- $\langle \emptyset \rightarrow k_3 \rangle$
- ...

Construction Overview

- Construction organized as Π_2^0 tree argument.
- Node $\alpha \subseteq f$ knows how all prior columns look when first visited.
- So $\alpha \subseteq f$ so can compute effect of axioms in \mathcal{A}_s enumerated by $\beta \supseteq \alpha$ (replaces C_s).
- Only the Π_2^0 outcome of $\mathcal{R}_{i,e}$ can experience more than finite injury.
- Finite injury easy....work above affected finite initial segment.
- Still need to make sure descendants of Π_2^0 outcome of $\mathcal{R}_{i,e}$ and the Σ_2^0 don't interfere.

Managing Interaction

Idea

Ensure that if $\mathcal{R}_{i,e}$ realizes Π_2^0 outcome no node guessing it has Σ_2^0 outcome affects C .

- This will let us ignore everything but finite injury.
- We do this by mandating that all axioms enumerated by β guessing Σ_2^0 outcome get revoked if we change our mind again.
- Specifically β is enumerated dependent on current values of $C(q_s)$ and $C(k_n)$.
- Thus if β guessed incorrectly all axioms enumerated by β are dependent on $\sigma \upharpoonright C$ and ineffective.
- This ensures that if $\alpha \subseteq f, f_s$ then C is just what α has guessed plus effect of $\beta \supseteq \alpha$.

Final Remarks

- Construction is effective so really we've built \mathcal{J}^ω such that for all X

$$\begin{aligned} X^{(2)} &\geq_{\mathbf{T}} \mathcal{J}^\omega(X) >_{\mathbf{T}} X \\ \mathcal{J}^\omega(X) \wedge_{\mathbf{T}} X' &\equiv_{\mathbf{T}} X \end{aligned}$$

- May have relation to question of whether there is a α -REA set of minimal arithmetic degree.

RecThy Package

- This presentation was prepared using the `rec-thy.sty` package.
- Download a copy from <https://ctan.org/pkg/rec-thy>
- Help development at <https://github.com/TruePath/Recursion-Theory-Latex-Package/blob/master/rec-thy.sty>